

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/674,730	09/30/2003	Alan E. Waltho	884.908US1	3974	
21186 7590 02/13/2007 SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.		EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2938			LEE, SIU M		
MINNEAPOLI	MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2611		
			,		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	02/13/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

*							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/674,730	WALTHO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Siu M. Lee	2611					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	•						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 S	eptember 2003.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.						
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application	· ·						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•						
	6) Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or alastian requirement						
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	n election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 September 2003</u> is/are: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
	variable. Note the attached Office	S ACTION OF IGHT 10-102.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	la bassa bassa saasisaad						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •						
application from the International Burea	•	od III tillo Mational olago					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ed.					
	:						
•							
Attachment(s)	•						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/05/2005. 5/27/2005, 4/26/2004	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	r atent Application					

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because fig 1-5 and 9-10 do not have labels describing what the blocks are. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 10/674,730 Page 3

Art Unit: 2611

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4 and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Seocho-Ku (EP 0 905 914 A2).

(1) Regarding claim 1:

Seocho-Ku discloses a method comprising applying a time delay (delay 28 in figure 5, page 4, lines 0030) to a signal sampled from an interference signal (transmitted signal from the transmitter 23and received from the power divider 25 in figure 4) to provide substantially broadband cancellation of the interference signal (the interference canceller 27 in figure 4 provides an interference cancellation from the transmitter 23, page 4, lines 38-47).

(2) Regarding claim 2:

Seocho-Ku discloses a method wherein providing substantially broadband cancellation includes substantially matching an amplitude of the sampled signal with an amplitude of the interference signal (page 4, lines 38-40).

(3) Regarding claim 3:

Seocho-Ku discloses a method wherein providing substantially broadband cancellation includes substantially matching an amplitude of the sampled signal with an amplitude of the interference signal to within about a 0.1 db accuracy (the interference canceller 27 attenuates the provided signal from the power divider 25 until the provided signal has

the amplitude as same as that of the signal transmitted from the transmitting antenna 21 to the receiving antenna 22, page 4, lines 38-40, lines 54-55).

(4) Regarding claim 4:

Seocho-Ku discloses a method wherein the method further includes providing about a 180 degree, phase shift to the signal sampled from the interference signal (variable phase shifter 30 in figure 5 provides a 180 phase shift of the attenuated signal, page 4, line 55).

(5) Regarding claim 6:

Seocho-Ku discloses a method wherein the method further includes generating the interference signal as a signal from a transmitter through its associated antenna with the substantially broadband cancellation of the interference signal applied to the interference signal received by a second antenna associated with a receiver (canceller 27 receives the signal from the power divider 25 and delays the transmitted signal by wave travel time, the attenuator 29 attenuated the delayed transmitted signal until it equals to the interference signal and a variable phase shifter 30 180 degree phase shift the delayed and attenuated transmitted signal for canceling of the interference signal from the transmitter 23 in figure 4, page 4, lines 28-55).

(6) Regarding claim 7:

Seocho-Ku discloses a method wherein the method further includes monitoring a signal strength received from a signal path receiving the sampled signal and the interference signal (the attenuator 29 may attenuate the received signal so as to become A'=A" as described in equation 5 in page 5, line50-55, page 4, line 54) and

adjusting the time delay to minimize the signal strength received from the signal path (the delay 28 in figure 5 delays the transmitted signal by wave travel time, which is the time of travel from the transmitting antenna 21 to the receiving antenna 22, page 4, lines 28-30).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seocho-Ku (EP 0 905 914 A2).

Seocho-Ku discloses wherein providing about a 180.degree. phase shift to the signal sampled from the interference signal (a variable phase shifter 30 in figure 5 to provide a 180 degree of the attenuated signal, page 4, lines 55)

Seocho-Ku does not explicitly discloses the phase shift includes providing about a 90.degree. phase shift upon sampling and providing about a 90.degree. phase shift coupling the sampled signal to a signal path receiving the interference signal.

However, it is functional equivalence between a 180 degree phase shift and two 90 degree phase shift so as to obtain a invert of the interference signal.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Seocho-Ku reference to include the claimed "a 90.degree."

phase shift upon sampling and providing about a 90.degree. phase shift coupling the sampled signal to a signal path receiving the interference signal" feature in Seocho-Ku's teaching, in order to obtain an inverted interference signal for canceling out the signal path receiving the interference signal.

5. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seocho-Ku (EP 0 905 914 A2) in view of Sugar et al. (US 2002/0080728 A1).

Seocho-Ku discloses a method wherein providing substantially broadband cancellation includes providing substantially broadband cancellation to an interference signal, where the interference signal propagates from a transmitting antenna of a device to a receiving antenna of the device.

Seocho-Ku fails to disclose the transmitting antenna using a first wireless protocol and the receiving antenna using a second wireless protocol.

However, Sugar et al. discloses a transmitting antenna using a first wireless protocol and a receiving antenna using a second wireless protocol (figure 2 is a block diagram of the wideband transceiver system architecture that has a transmitting antenna and a receiving antenna and the wideband architecture is used to process multiple WLAN protocols such as Bluetooth, Home RF and IEEE 802.11 in the 2.4 GHz ISM band, paragraph 0018, lines 1-7).

It is desirable to have a transmitting antenna using a first wireless protocol and a receiving antenna using a second wireless protocol because it benefit from IC cost reduction associated with reductions in digital CMOS IC geometry (paragraph 0007).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the teaching of Sugar et al. with the method of Seocho-Ku to make the method more cost efficient.

- 6. Claims 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seocho-Ku (EP 0 905 914 A2) in view of Rose, Jr. (US 5,127,101).
 - (1) regarding claim 9:

Seocho-Ku discloses a method comprising:

providing a time delay to a correction signal propagating from a first signal path to a second signal path (delay 28 in figure 5 provide a delay to the correction signal, page 4, line 51);

adjusting an amplitude of the correction signal (the variable attenuator 29 adjust the amplitude of the correction signal, page 4, lines 51-53); and

provide substantially broadband cancellation of an interference signal propagating over an interference path between the first signal path and the second signal path, the interference path separate from a primary path of the correction signal (page 4, lines 32-47).

Seocho-Ku fails to disclose periodically resetting the time delay and adjusting the amplitude of the correction signal.

However, Rose, Jr. discloses a method that periodically resetting the time delay and adjusting the amplitude of the correction signal (column 10, line 60 to column 11, line 2).

It is desirable to periodically resetting the time delay and adjusting the amplitude of the correction signal because it can maintain the amplitude and time delay obtained in the initial alignment (column 5, lines 1-3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the teaching of Rose, Jr. in the method of Seocho-Ku to improve the accuracy of the interference cancellation method.

(2) Regarding claim 10:

Seocho-Ku discloses the method further includes sampling the interference signal to generate the correction signal (power divider 25 in figure 4 sampled the interference signal from the transmitting path to generate the correction signal, page 4, lines 34-35).

(3) Regarding claim 11:

Rose, Jr. further discloses wherein periodically resetting the time delay and adjusting the amplitude occurs during a time interval in which no communication signals are being externally transmitted or received along the first signal path or the second signal path (although auto alignment sequence for each site is implemented on a periodic basis, the alignment mode is activated only when no system activity is sensed, column 5, lines 12-14).

(4) Regarding claim 12:

Rose, Jr. further disclose wherein the method further includes:

transmitting a test signal along the first signal path (transmit a test signal from the control center to a selected site transmitter, column 10, lines 60-61); receiving a

response signal associated with the test signal from the second signal path (the retransmission of the test signal from the selected site transmitter to the control center, column 10, lines 62-63), the response signal having a signal strength the difference between the retransmitted signal and a reference signal); and

adjusting the time delay and adjusting the amplitude to minimize the signal strength (column 10, line 67-column 11, line 2).

It is desirable to transmitting a test signal along the first signal path; receiving a response signal associated with the test signal from the second signal path, the response signal having a signal strength; and adjusting the time delay and adjusting the amplitude to minimize the signal strength because it compensate for time and amplitude variation in the system (column 1, lines 45-56). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to employ the teaching of Rose.

Jr. in the method of Seocho-Ku to improve the reliability of the method.

- 7. Claims 13, 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seocho-Ku (EP 0 905 914 A2) in view of Cronyn (US 4,991,165).
 - (1) Regarding claim 13:

Seocho-Yu discloses an apparatus comprising:

- a first signal path (the path of the transmitter 23 to the antenna 21 in figure 4);
- a second signal path (the path of the antenna 22 to the receiver 24 in figure 4);
- a delay line to provide a time delay to a correction signal propagating from the first signal path to the second signal path (the delay 28 delays the signal received from

the power divider 25 by the signal travel time, the signal travel time refers to the time of travel from the transmitting antenna 21 to the receiving antenna 22, page 4, lines 36-38, line 51); and

a variable attenuator (variable attenuator 29 in the interference canceller in figure 5) coupled to the delay line (delay 28 in figure 5) such that the correction signal matches an interference signal propagating from the first signal path to the second signal path to provide substantial broadband cancellation of the interference signal (the attenuator 29 attenuates the delayed signal to the signal of which amplitude is the same as that of the signal radiated through the transmitting antenna 21, the interference canceller 27 attenuates the provided signal from the power divider 25 until the provided signal has the amplitude as same as that of the signal transmitted from the transmitting antenna 21 to the receiving antenna 22, page 4, paragraph 0028, lines 3-5 and paragraph 0030, lines 1-2).

Seocho-Ku fails to disclose an adjustable delay line to provide a time delay to a correction signal propagating from the first signal path to the second signal path.

However, Cronyn discloses an adjustable delay line (computer controlled RF delay line 40 in figure 2) to provide a time delay to a correction signal propagating from the first signal path to the second signal path (the computer controlled RF delay line 40 which fine tunes the delay through the transmission line, electronic component cancellation path between directional coupler 34 and injection directional coupler 58 to be the same as the delay in the coupling path from directional coupler 34 via antenna

26 via free space to the antenna 28 and then through transmission line 30 to directional coupler 58 as shown in figure 2, column 6, lines 34-41).

It is desirable to use an adjustable delay line to provide a time delay to a correction signal propagating from the first signal path to the second signal path because ancillary external data can be integrated into the control of the canceller (column 3, lines 25-26). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to employ the teaching of Cronyn in the apparatus of Seocho-Yu to improve the performance of the apparatus.

(2) Regarding claim 17:

Seocho-Yu's apparatus further including a first tap to couple the correction signal to a primary path from the first signal path to the adjustable delay (the power divider 25 in figure 4, the power divider 25 divides the signal T(t) to be transmitted and provides the interference canceller 27 with the divided signal, page 4, lines 34-35).

(3) Regarding claim 18:

Seocho-Ku's apparatus further including a second tap to couple the primary path to the second signal path (the power coupler 26 in figure 4, the power coupler 26 combines the signal T(t) from the interference canceller 27 and the signal received by the receiving antenna 22, page 4, lines 42-43).

(4) Regarding claim 19:

Seocho-Ku's apparatus further including a phase corrector coupled to the adjustable delay line (variable phase shifter 30 is couple to the delay 28 in figure 5, page 4, lines 48-50).

Application/Control Number: 10/674,730 Page 12

Art Unit: 2611

(5) Regarding claim 20:

Seocho-Ku fails to disclose a controller to manage the variable attenuator, the adjustable delay line, and the phase corrector.

However, Cronyn discloses a controller to manage the variable attenuator, the adjustable delay line, and the phase corrector (the computer 42 in figure 2 adjust the delay, phase and the amplitude of the signal from the interference canceller to minimize the residual signal, column 8, lines 7-11).

It is desirable to have a controller to manage the variable attenuator, the adjustable delay line, and the phase corrector because the interference canceller can be time shared if there are a number of pulsed interfering emitters (column 3, lines 67-68). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to employ the teaching of Cronyn in the apparatus of Seocho-Yu to increase the functionality of the apparatus.

(6) Regarding claim 21:

Seocho-Ku's apparatus further discloses wherein the first signal path includes a transmitter and a first antenna (transmitter 23 and antenna 21 in figure 4), and the second signal path includes a receiver and a second antenna (receiver 24 and antenna 22 in figure 4, page 4, lines 32-33).

8. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seocho-Ku (EP 0 905 914 A2) in view of Cronyn (US 4,991,165) as applied to claim 13 above, and further in view of Loo et al. (US 5,757,319).

Seocho-Ku in view of Cronyn discloses all the subject matter as discuss above except wherein the adjustable delay line includes one or more microelectromechanical switches.

However, Loo et al. discloses an adjustable delay line includes one or more microelectromechanical switches (figure 2, column2, lines 49-53 and column 4, lines 56-64).

It is desirable to have the adjustable delay line includes one or more microelectromechanical switches because the microelectromechanical switches have low loss over a wide frequency range (column 3, lines 60-61). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to replace the computer controlled delay line of Cronyn by the adjustable delay line includes one or more microelectromechanical switches as taught by Loo et al. to improve the performance of the apparatus.

- 9. Claims 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seocho-Ku (EP 0 905 914 A2) in view of Cronyn (US 4,991,165) as applied to claim 13 above, and further in view of Sengupta et al. (US 6,556,102 B1).
 - (1) Regarding claim 15:

Seocho-Ku in view of Cronyn disclose all the subject matter as discuss above except wherein the adjustable delay line includes a material whose permittivity can be changed to adjust the speed of propagation of the correction signal.

However, Sengupta et al. discloses a an adjustable delay line includes a material whose permittivity can be changed to adjust the speed of propagation of the correction signal (figure 5 shows a coplanar tunable dielectric delay line 92, the delay line takes advantage of low loss voltage tunable materials to build tunable delay lines that vary the dielectric constant by a change of the voltage across the material, the delay line is made of multiple layers of tunable material, column 6, lines 40-42 and 58-62).

It is desirable to have the adjustable delay line includes a material whose permittivity can be changed to adjust the speed of propagation of the correction signal because the accurate time delay will be easier to obtain by tuning a DC voltage (column 7, lines 31-32). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to replace the computer controlled delay line of Cronyn by the RF tunable delay line of Sengupta et al. to improve the accuracy of the delay line.

(2) Regarding claim 16:

Sengupta et al. further discloses wherein the material is barium strontium titanate (column 8, lines 26-28).

10. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seocho-Ku (EP 0 905 914 A2) in view of Cronyn (US 4,991,165) as applied to claim 21 above, and further in view of Stolarczke et al. (US 5,093,929).

Seocho-Ku in view of Cronyn disclose all the subject matter as discuss above except wherein the first signal path further includes a transmission line coupled to the

transmitter and a first cable having a fixed propagation delay coupled to the first antenna.

However, Stolarczke et al. discloses the first signal path further includes a transmission line coupled to the transmitter and a first cable having a fixed propagation delay coupled to the first antenna (the transmitter 204 in figure 6b is tightly coupled to the transmission line conductor 32 by a pager repeater vertical tuned loop antenna 210 and an antenna cable 212 which link antenna 210 to transmitter, it is inherent that a antenna cable to have a fixed propagation delay, column 8, lines 18-22).

It is desirable to have the first signal path further includes a transmission line coupled to the transmitter and a first cable having a fixed propagation delay coupled to the first antenna because the repeater can communicate with the base station using medium frequency (column 4, lines 23-25). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the teaching of Stolarczke et al. with the apparatus of Seocho-Ku and Cronyn to improve the functionality of the apparatus.

11. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seocho-Ku (EP 0 905 914 A2) in view of Cronyn (US 4,991,165) as applied to claim 21 above, and further in view of Young (US 6,643,522 B1).

Seocho-Ku in view of Cronyn disclose all the subject matter as discuss above except wherein the transmitter is a first transceiver that uses a first wireless protocol and the receiver is a second transceiver that uses a second wireless protocol.

However, Young discloses wherein the transmitter is a first transceiver that uses a first wireless protocol and the receiver is a second transceiver that uses a second wireless protocol (column 11, lines 11-20).

It is desirable wherein the transmitter is a first transceiver that uses a first wireless protocol and the receiver is a second transceiver that uses a second wireless protocol because it provides multiple services for users of different systems (column 3, lines 1-2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the teaching of Young with the method of Seocho-Ku and Cronyn improve the functionality of the system.

- 12. Claims 24, 26, 28, 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McGeehan et al. (US 6,229,992 B1) in view of Cronyn (US 4,991,165) and Auckland et al. (US 2002/0183013 A1).
 - (1) Regarding claim 24:

McGeehan et al. discloses a system comprising:

a processor (digital signal processor 16 in figure 5, column 3, line 66 – column 4, line1);

a first signal path (the transmitting path from the transmitter 9 to the antenna 2 in figure 5, on which signals responsive to the processor are transmitted;

a first antenna (antenna 2 in figure 5, column 2, lines 5-7) coupled to the first signal path to transmit the signals from the first signal path;

a second signal path (the signal path from the antenna 1 to the receiver processor 15 in figure 5) on which signals to provide a communication to the processor is received;

and a variable attenuator (variable attenuator 12 in figure 5, column 2, lines 51-52) coupled to the adjustable delay line such that the correction signal matches an interference signal propagating from the first signal path to the second signal path to provide substantial broadband cancellation of the interference signal (column 2, lines 51-65).

McGeehan et al. fails to explicitly discloses (i) an adjustable delay line to provide a time delay to a correction signal propagating from the first signal path to the second signal path, (ii) a memory coupled to the processor.

However, McGeehan et al. discloses that alternatively, delay element may be inserted in some or all of the signal paths to permit cancellation of elements of the unwanted transmitter output signal which have arrived at the receive antenna by a long path (column 3, lines 52-56).

With respect to (i), Cronyn discloses an adjustable delay line (computer controlled RF delay line 40 in figure 2) to provide a time delay to a correction signal propagating from the first signal path to the second signal path (the computer controlled RF delay line 40 which fine tunes the delay through the transmission line, electronic component cancellation path between directional coupler 34 and injection directional coupler 58 to be the same as the delay in the coupling path from directional coupler 34

via antenna 26 via free space to the antenna 28 and then through transmission line 30 to directional coupler 58 as shown in figure 2, column 6, lines 34-41).

It is desirable to use an adjustable delay line to provide a time delay to a correction signal propagating from the first signal path to the second signal path because ancillary external data can be integrated into the control of the canceller (column 3, lines 25-26). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to employ the teaching of Cronyn in the apparatus of McGeehan et al. to improve the performance of the apparatus.

With respect to (ii), Auckland et al. discloses digital signal processor with memory couple to the processor (digital signal process (DSP) operate in conjunction with data and instructions stored in memory, the memory may be integrated with the DSP or may be packaged separately (paragraph 0090, lines 3-6).

It is desirable to have a memory coupled to the processor because it offers an integrated package for ease of manufacturing and resulting cost benefits (paragraph 0048, lines 6-7). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to employ the teaching of Auckland et al. in the apparatus of McGeehan et al. to reduce the production cost.

(2) Regarding claim 26:

McGeehan et al. further including a controller to manage the variable attenuator and the adjustable delay line (the digital signal processor 16 in figure 5, column 3, line 66-column 4, line 3).

(3) Regarding claim 28:

McGeehan et al. discloses wherein the system further includes a data transmitting module coupled to the first signal path collocated with a data receiving module coupled to the second signal path (the system in figure 5 is a transceiver, therefore there is a transmitting module (9) couple to the first signal path collocated with a data receiving module (15) coupled to the second signal path, column 1, lines 29-45).

(4) Regarding claim 29 and 30:

McGeehan et al. discloses wherein the system is a computer or a laptop (the system discloses in figure 5 contains a digital signal processing to process the data for a transceiver, therefore, the system in figure 5 can be consider as a computer or a laptop computer).

13. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McGeehan et al. (US 6,229,992 B1) in view of Cronyn (US 4,991,165) and Auckland et al. (US 2002/0183013 A1) as applied to claim 24 above, and further in view of Sugar et al. (US 2002/0080728 A1).

McGeehan et al. in view of Cronyn and Auckland disclose all the subject matter as discuss above except wherein the first signal path includes a transmitter to transmit a first signal using a first protocol and the second path includes a receiver and a second antenna to receive a second signal using a second protocol.

However, Sugar et al. discloses wherein the first signal path includes a transmitter to transmit a first signal using a first protocol and the second path includes a receiver and a second antenna to receive a second signal using a second protocol

Application/Control Number: 10/674,730

Art Unit: 2611

(figure 2 is a block diagram of the wideband transceiver system architecture that has a transmitting antenna and a receiving antenna attached to a transmitter and a receiver respectively, and the wideband architecture is used to process multiple WLAN protocols such as Bluetooth, Home RF and IEEE 802.11 in the 2.4 GHz ISM band, paragraph 0018, lines 1-7).

It is desirable to have the first signal path includes a transmitter to transmit a first signal using a first protocol and the second path includes a receiver and a second antenna to receive a second signal using a second protocol because it benefit from IC cost reduction associated with reductions in digital CMOS IC geometry (paragraph 0007). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the teaching of Sugar et al. with the apparatus of McGeehan et al.. Cronyn and Auckland to make the method more cost efficient.

14. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McGeehan et al. (US 6,229,992 B1) in view of Cronyn (US 4,991,165) and Auckland et al. (US 2002/0183013 A1) as applied to claim 24 above.

McGeehan et al. fails to disclose a first tap to couple the correction signal to a primary path from the first signal path to the adjustable delay, and a second tap to couple the primary path to the second signal path, wherein the first tap and the second tap each provide about a 90 degree, phase shift to the correction signal.

However, McGeehan et al. discloses a subtractor 13 in figure 5 to subtracting the interference correction signal from the receiving path, practically, it is function equivalent

to apply a total of 180 degree phase shift to the correction signal for canceling out the interference signal in the receiving path.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify McGeehan et al. reference to include the claimed "a 90.degree. phase shift upon sampling and providing about a 90.degree. phase shift coupling the sampled signal to a signal path receiving the interference signal" feature in McGeehan et al.'s teaching, in order to obtain an inverted interference signal for canceling out the signal path receiving the interference signal.

Conclusion

15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Gaucher (US 6,175,860 B1) discloses a method and apparatus for an automatic multi-rate wireless / wired computer network. Szmurlo et al. (US 5,757,312) discloses a method and apparatus for hardwired adaptive cancellation.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Siu M. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 270-1083. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 7:30-4:00 with every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on (571) 272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/674,730 Page 22

Art Unit: 2611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Siu M. Lee 1/25/2007

> CHIEH M. FAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER